Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Special Education District Profile

ACADEMIE LAFAYETTE (048-914)

As required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004, the Missouri State Performance Plan (SPP) is a six-year plan that includes targets for student performance indicators and improvement activities designed to enable districts (regular districts, charter schools and state operated programs) and the state to meet those targets. Missouri is also required to publicly report on the performance of each district in relation to the targets established in the SPP. The State Performance Plan can be found online at: http://www.dese.mo.gov/divspeced/SPPpage.html

The purpose of this profile is to:

- a. Provide information to the public about the performance of districts on the SPP Indicators
- b. Address other outcome measures for students receiving special education services.

Page 1 of this profile summarizes whether the district met or did not meet the targets for each performance indicator. The remaining pages provide additional data for the SPP indicators (noted as "SPP #").

Students with disabilities are those students who qualify for special education services and who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP). Data sources are provided for each table included in this profile, however most of the data are reported by the district directly to DESE.

See http://www.dese.mo.gov/divspeced/DataCoord/documents/DistrictProfileReviewGuide.pdf for the Special Education Profile Review Guide

Questions? Please contact the Special Education - Data Coordination at 573-751-7848 speddata@dese.mo.gov.

Version: November 2011 Printed: 11/8/2011

ACADEMIE LAFAYETTE (048-914)

Special Education District Profile	SPP Targets and District Status 2010-2011	CADEMIE L			(0 10 0 1 1)
SPP Indicator*		Distric 2010			Target 10-11
	rly Childhood Special Education Data (Table	A)			
	t Steps prior to age 3, who are found eligible for ECSE,				
	nd implemented by their third birthdays (SPP 12) **	NA	NA	=	100.00%
Percent of children in ECSE who de					
Positive social-emotional skills:	Summary Statement 1		NA	≥	92.70%
	Summary Statement 2	NA		≥	55.60%
Acquisition and use of	Summary Statement 1	NA	NA	≥	93.80%
knowledge and skills:	Summary Statement 2	NA	NA	≥	42.40%
Use of appropriate behaviors to	Summary Statement 1	NA NA		≥	90.70%
meet needs:	Summary Statement 2		I NA	1=	60.70%
Child	Count and Educational Environment Data (Ta	bie B)	ı	_	
	e regular class at ≥ 80% of the day (SPP 5A)	100.00%		≥	59.50%
	regular class less than 40% of the day (SPP 5B)	0.00%		≤	10.20%
Percent of children with IEPs serve		0.00%	Met	≤	3.50%
	proportionality of racial/ethnic groups in special education				
or in specific disability categories th	nat is the result of inappropriate identification? (SPP 9/10)	No			
	Assessment Data (Table C)		1		
•	EPs on statewide assessment for Communication Arts				
(grades 3-8, HS) (SPP 3B)		100.00%	Met	≥	95.00%
Participation rate for children with II 8, HS) (SPP 3B)	EPs on statewide assessment for Mathematics (grades 3-	100.00%	Met	≥	95.00%
, , ,	Ps on statewide assessment for Communication Arts			1	
(grades 3-8, HS) (SPP 3C)		34.78%	Not Met	≥	75.50%
•	Ps on statewide assessment for Mathematics (grades 3-8,				
HS) (SPP 3C)	Fool of the Date (Table D)	56.52%	Not Met	≥	72.50%
	Evaluation Data (Table D)		ı	_	
Percent of children with parental co determined within 60 days (SPP 1	nsent to evaluate who were evaluated and had eligibility 1) **	NA	NA	=	100.00%
	Parent Survey Data (Table E)				
-	iving special education services who report that schools				
•	means of improving services and results for children with				
disabilities (SPP 8)		NA	NA	≥	80.00%
	Suspension/Expulsion Data (Table F)				
Was district identified as having sig (SPP 4A)	nificant discrepancies in suspension/expulsion rates?	No			
' '	ignificant discrepancies in suspension/expulsion rates by			1	
race/ethnicity (SPP 4B)	ignificant discrepancies in suspension soxpaision rates by	No			
	Secondary Transition Data (Table G)				
Graduation rate for students with di	sabilities (SPP 1)	NA	NA	≥	81.20%
Dropout rate for students with disal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA	NA	≤	4.80%
	with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable,			1	
	vices that will reasonably enable the student to meet the				
post-secondary goals (SPP 13) **	vises that will reasonably enable the student to meet the	NA	NA	=	100.00%
Percent of youth who had IEPs,	enrolled in higher education	NA	NA	≥	24.40%
are no longer in secondary school	enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	NA	NA	≥	46.90%
and who have been: (SPP 14)	total employed / continuing education	NA	NA		51.30%

^{*} Only those indicators for which data are available and/or targets have been established are included in this summary

^{**} Data are collected from districts in conjunction with their MSIP review, so data is not available for all districts every year. For districts with data, the met/not met call for compliance indicators is pending finalization of the compliance desk review of district data

Early Childhood Special Education (ECSE) Data - (Table A)

Early Childhood Special Education Child Count and Participation Rates (A1)

The following indicates the number of children who are eligible to receive early childhood special education services.

Total Early Childhood 3-PK5										
2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 State: 2010-11										
Child Count	0	0	0	11,487						
Participation Rate	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6.15%						

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core and census data (2003 estimates)

Participation Rate = Child Count / Census

Early Childhood Special Education Educational Environments (ages 3-PK5) (SPP 6) (A2)

The following indicates the educational environment of children receiving early childhood special education services.

							State
	2008-09		2009-10		2010	0-11	2010-2011
	#	%	#	%	#	%	%
In the regular early childhood program:		0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	56.88%
n 10-11)	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
-11)	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
d in 10-11)	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
EC Program					0	0.00%	24.46%
Other Location					0	0.00%	21.02%
EC Program					0	0.00%	5.48%
Other Location					0	0.00%	5.91%
-	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	29.97%
	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.62%
	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.11%
	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	10.42%
	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	100.00%
	n 10-11) -11) -11) -11) -11) -11) -11) -11	# program: 0	# % program: 0 0.00% n 10-11) 0 0.00% n in 10-11) 0 0.00% n in 10-11) 0 0.00% EC Program Other Location EC Program Other Location 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00%	# % # program: 0 0.00% 0 n 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 11) 0 0.00% 0 in 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 EC Program Other Location EC Program Other Location 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0 0 0.00% 0	# % # % program: 0 0.00% 0 0.00% n 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% in 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% in 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% EC Program Other Location EC Program Other Location 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00%	# % # % # program: 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 n 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% in 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% in 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% EC Program Other Location COMPART CONTROL OF THE CO	# % # % # % program: 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% n 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% in 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% in 10-11) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% EC Program Other Location Comparison of the Location o 0.00% Other Location 0 0.00% Other Lo

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core

Percentage = Educational Environment / Total Early Childhood

Transition from First Steps (Part C) (SPP 12) (A3)

For children referred from the First Steps program, districts are required to develop and implement an IEP by the third birthday. The following data shows the percent of children referred by First Steps prior to age 3, who were found eligible for ECSE, and who had an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday

Reporting Year	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Number referred and eligible	NA	NA	0	NA	NA
IEPs developed within acceptable timelines	NA	NA	0	NA	NA
Percent developed within acceptable timelines	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
State % developed within acceptable timelines	93.13%	97.38%	95.00%	98.58%	99.46%

Source: Data are collected from districts in the year prior to MSIP review; therefore not available for all districts every year

Early Childhood Special Education (ECSE) Data - (Table A)

Early Childhood Outcome Data (SPP 7) (A4)


Districts are required to assess children's abilities when they enter and exit ECSE. The following table indicates the progress, or outcome, made between entering and exiting ECSE for children who exited ECSE during the reporting year.

Outcomes:	Social	Emotiona	al Skills	Acquiring and Using			Taking Appropriate Action to			
2010-2011 School Year				Know	ledge and		N	leet Need		
Outcomes:			State			State			State	
Percent of children who	#	%	%	#	%	%	#	%	%	
a. did not improve functioning	0	NA	1.23%	0	NA	1.74%	0	NA	1.55%	
b. improved functioning but not										
sufficient to move nearer to functioning										
comparable to same-age peers	0	NA	3.89%	0	NA	2.70%	0	NA	4.12%	
c. improved functioning to a level nearer										
to same-aged peers but did not reach	0	NA	43.49%	0	NA	55.03%	0	NA	37.50%	
d. improved functioning to reach a level										
comparable to same-aged peers	0	NA	29.11%	0	NA	30.30%	0	NA	32.60%	
e. maintained functioning at a level										
comparable to same-aged peers	0	NA	22.29%	0	NA	10.23%	0	NA	24.23%	
Total:	0	0.00%	100.00%	0	0.00%	100.00%	0	0.00%	100.00%	
Summary Statements										
 Of those children who entered the 										
program below age expectation, the										
percent that substantially increased										
their rate of growth by the time they										
exited.		NA	93.42%		NA	95.05%		NA	92.52%	
2. Percent of children who were										
functioning within age expectations by										
the time they exited.		NA	51.40%		NA	40.53%		NA	56.83%	

Summary Calculations: 1. ((c+d)/(a+b+c+d))*100 2. ((d+e)/(a+b+c+d+e))*100

Source: MOSIS Student Core

Note: Excludes children who transferred districts (district totals) and children in ECSE less than 6 months



SS1=Summary Statement 1 (see above)

SS2=Summary Statement 2 (see above)

Child Count and Educational Environment Data - (Table B)


Child Count (5K-21) and Parentally-Placed Private School Students (PPPS) (B1)

The following table indicates the number and incidence rate of students with disabilities by disability category

			Total Excluding	Incidence Rate	State
Disability Category	Total	PPPS	PPPS	2010-11	2010-11
Mental Retardation	0	0	0	0.00%	1.16%
Emotional Disturbance	0	0	0	0.00%	0.75%
Speech Impairment	19	0	19	2.95%	2.30%
Language Impairment	2	0	2	0.31%	1.15%
Orthopedic Impairment	0	0	0	0.00%	0.06%
Visual Impairment	0	0	0	0.00%	0.05%
Hearing Impairment	0	0	0	0.00%	0.13%
Specific Learning Disabilities	10	0	10	1.55%	3.83%
Other Health Impairment	0	0	0	0.00%	2.08%
Deaf/Blindness	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Multiple Disabilities	0	0	0	0.00%	0.17%
Autism	0	0	0	0.00%	0.79%
Traumatic Brain Injury	0	0	0	0.00%	0.05%
Young Child w/ Developmental Delay	0	0	0	0.00%	0.23%
Total	31	0	31	4.81%	12.75%

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core Child Count data is as of December 1

Incidence rate = Total excluding PPPS / K-12 district enrollment



Percent of Students by Race/Ethnicity (SPP 9/10) (B2)

The following table indicates the percentage of students by race for total district enrollment, special education child count and disability categories (special education data excludes PPPS students)

School Year: 2010-11	White %	Black %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Indian %	PacificI%	Multi%	Total %
Total District Enrollment (K-12)	62.89%	27.48%	4.97%	3.73%	0.31%	0.00%	0.62%	100.00%
Total IEP Child Count (3-21)	74.19%	22.58%	3.23%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Mental Retardation	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Emotional Disturbance	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Speech/Language Impairment	75.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Specific Learning Disability	80.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Other Health Impairment	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Autism	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core Child Count data is as of December 1

Version: November 2011 Page 4 Printed: 11/8/2011

Child Count and Educational Environment Data - (Table B)


School-age Educational Environments (SPP 5) (B3)


The following table indicates the amount of time that students with disabilities are included in the general education classroom.

							State
	2008-	-2009	2009-	2009-2010		-2011	2010-2011
Placement Categories	#	%	#	%	#	%	%
Inside Regular Class >79% (SPP 5a)	22	95.65%	26	100.00%	31	100.00%	59.95%
Inside Regular Class 40-79%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	25.17%
Inside Regular Class <40% (SPP 5b)	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	9.38%
Private Separate (Day) Facility*	1	4.35%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.58%
Public Separate (Day) Facility*	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.38%
Homebound/Hospital*	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.62%
Private Residential Facility*	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Correctional Facility	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.06%
Parentally Placed Private School	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1.95%
State Operated Separate School [^]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.91%
Total School Age	23	100.00%	26	100.00%	31	100.00%	100.00%
Total of Separate Placements* (SPP 5c)	1	4.35%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.49%

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core.

[^]This category is only used by Missouri School for the Blind, Missouri School for the Deaf and Missouri Schools for the Severely Disabled





^{*&}quot;Total Separate" includes: Private/Public Separate Facilities; Homebound/Hospital; Private Residential Facilities and State Operated School

Student Assessment Data - (Table C)

District Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Students with Disabilities (SPP 3A)

Student assessment data is evaluated for AYP purposes for a specific subgroups of students, one of which is students with disabilities. For district AYP status, refer to School Data and Statistics website: http://mcds.dese.mo.gov/guidedinquiry/Pages/Federal-Accountability.aspx

Missouri Assessment Program (IEP MAP and MAP-Alternate) (SPP 3B and 3C)

The following table indicates statewide assessment results for students with disabilities

Crada						Ctoto			-	Dort	Darsant	Ctoto	
Grade	Acct	кері	Number	Part.	Percent		Acct	Rept	Number	Part.	Percent	State	
			Top Two	Rate		Percent			Top Two	Rate	Top Two	Percent	
				(SPP 3B)	(SPP 3C)					(SPP 3B)	(SPP 3C)	Top Two	
					2010-11	- IEP MA	P and M	IAP-A					
			Commu	nication A	rts		Mathematics						
3	7	7	2	100.0%	28.6%	28.5%	7	7	4	100.0%	57.1%	34.5%	
4	9	9	2	100.0%	22.2%	30.8%	9	9	3	100.0%	33.3%	33.6%	
5	2	2	1	100.0%	50.0%	27.9%	2	2	2	100.0%	100.0%	31.6%	
6	4	4	2	100.0%	50.0%	23.6%	4	4	3	100.0%	75.0%	30.5%	
7	0	0	0	NA	NA	21.7%	0	0	0	NA	NA	25.4%	
8	1	1	1	100.0%	100.0%	21.1%	1	1	1	100.0%	100.0%	22.3%	
HS	0	0	0	NA	NA	34.2%	0	0	0	NA	NA	27.1%	
3-5	18	18	5	100.0%	27.8%		18	18	9	100.0%	50.0%	33.2%	
6-8	5	5	3	100.0%	60.0%		5	5	4	100.0%	80.0%	26.2%	
All	23	23	8	100.0%	34.8%	26.8%	23	23	13	100.0%	56.5%	29.5%	
					2009-10	- IEP MA	P and M	IAP-A					
			Commu	nication A	rts		Mathematics						
3	9	9	1	100.0%	11.1%	27.5%	9	9	3	100.0%	33.3%	33.7%	
4	3	3	2	100.0%	66.7%	29.9%	3	3	1	100.0%	33.3%	32.9%	
5	4	4	2	100.0%	50.0%	28.2%	4	4	2	100.0%	50.0%	31.4%	
6	0	0	0	NA	NA	21.4%	0	0	0	NA	NA	27.6%	
7	1	1	1	100.0%	100.0%	20.1%	1	1	1	100.0%	100.0%	25.7%	
8	2	2	2	100.0%	100.0%	20.5%	2	2	2	100.0%	100.0%	22.7%	
HS	0	0	0	NA	NA	36.0%	0	0	0	NA	NA	28.3%	
3-5	16	16	5	100.0%	31.3%	28.6%	16	16	6	100.0%	37.5%	32.7%	
6-8	3	3	3	100.0%	100.0%	20.7%	3	3	3	100.0%	100.0%	25.4%	
All	19	19	8	100.0%	42.1%	26.1%	19	19	9	100.0%	47.4%	29.1%	
					2008-09	- IEP MA	P and M	IAP-A					
			Commu	nication A	rts				Ma	athematics	3		
3	4	4	2	100.0%	50.0%	26.4%	4	4	3	100.0%	75.0%	31.4%	
4	5	5	2	100.0%	40.0%		5	5	0	100.0%	0.0%	29.5%	
5	3	3	3	100.0%	100.0%	25.2%	3	3	3	100.0%	100.0%	25.8%	
6	1	1	1	100.0%	100.0%		1	1	1	100.0%	100.0%	24.9%	
7	2	2	2	100.0%	100.0%	18.4%	2	2	2	100.0%	100.0%	23.6%	
8	1	1	1	100.0%	100.0%		1	1	1	100.0%	100.0%		
HS	0	0	0	NA	NA	32.7%	0	0	0	NA	NA	27.4%	
3-5	12	12	7	100.0%	58.3%	26.4%	12	12	6	100.0%	50.0%	30.3%	
6-8	4	4	4	100.0%	100.0%		4	4	4	100.0%	100.0%	24.8%	
All	16	16	11	100.0%	68.8%	22.9%	16	16	10	100.0%	62.5%	26.2%	

Source: MAP Assessment - includes MAP and MAP-A results

Acct = Accountable; Rept = Reportable; Number Top Two = Proficient + Advanced


Participation Rate (Part Rate) = Reportable / Accountable


Proficient or Advanced Percent (Percent Top Two) = (Number of Proficient + Number of Advanced) / Reportable


HS: Beginning in 2009, high school totals include required End of Course exams (English II and Algebra I) and alternate assessments


Version: November 2011 Page 6 Printed: 11/8/2011

Student Assessment Data - (Table C)









HS: Beginning in 2009, high school totals include required End of Course exams (English II and Algebra I) and alternate assessments

Evaluation, Parent Involvement and Suspension/Expulsion Data - (Tables D, E, and F)

Initial Evaluation Timelines (SPP 11) (Table D)

Districts are required to complete initial evaluations and determine eligibility within 60 days from referral to special education. The following table reflects the percent of children who, with parental consent, had eligibility for special education determined within 60 days.

Reporting Year	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Number evaluated	NA	NA	8	NA	NA
Number within acceptable timelines	NA	NA	8	NA	NA
Percent within acceptable timelines	NA	NA	100.00%	NA	NA
State % within acceptable timelines	93.72%	96.59%	97.75%	96.76%	97.75%

Note: Data collected from districts in year prior to MSIP review; therefore not available for all districts every year

Statewide reporting includes approximately 1/5 of all school districts in the state

Parent Survey Data (SPP 8) (Table E)

Parents are asked about their level of involvement with their children's education on the MSIP Parent Survey. The following table indicates the percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who reported that schools encouraged parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.

Reporting Year	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Responses	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Number Agree/Strongly Agree	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
% Agree/Strongly Agree	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
State % Agree/Strongly Agree	69.42%	72.18%	69.55%	69.25%	71.39%

Source: MSIP Parent Advance Questionnaire

Note: Data collected from districts in conjunction with the MSIP review; therefore not available for all districts every year

Statewide reporting includes approximately 1/5 of all school districts in the state

Suspension/Expulsion Data (SPP 4A) (Table F)

	Stude	nts with Disab	ilities	Non D	isabled Stu	dents	District	State
School Year	Dis	strict	State	District		State	Ratio of	Ratio of
2010-2011			Rate per		Rate per	Rate per	IEP :	IEP :
2010 2011		100	100		100	100	NonIEP	NonIEP
	Number	students	students	Number	students	students	rate	rate
Student Counts								
OSS - All	0	0.00		10	1.63		0.00	
OSS > 10 Days	0	0.00		1	0.16		0.00	
ISS - All	1	3.23		13	2.12		1.52	1.49
ISS > 10 Days	0	0.00	1.76	0	0.00	0.87	NA	2.04
Total OSS and ISS	1	3.2	26.20	23	3.75	16.29	0.86	1.61
Incident Counts								
OSS - All	0	0.00		26	4.24	11.56	0.00	2.07
OSS > 10 Days	0	0.00	2.42	1	0.16	1.20	0.00	2.02
American Indian	0	0.00	0.58				0.00	0.48
Asian	0	0.00		NI. C. O.		17	0.00	1.06
Black	0	0.00	6.96		e ratios for th		0.00	5.80
Hispanic	0	0.00	1.30		roups use th OSS>10 da		0.00	1.09
Multi Racial	0	0.00	3.23		he comparis		0.00	2.69
Pacific Islander	0	0.00	1.30	14003 43 (ne compans	on group	0.00	1.08
White	0	0.00	1.33			0.00	1.11	
ISS - All	2	6.45	38.87	17	2.77	23.3	2.33	1.67
ISS > 10 Days	0	0.00		0	0.00		NA	2.04
Total OSS and ISS	2	6.45	62.75	43	7.01	34.8	0.92	1.80

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Discipline and MOSIS Student Core.

is the number of students or incidents reported; rate is the rate per 100 students based on total enrollment and 3-21 child count excluding PPPS ISS All = Any incident resulting in an in-school suspension

ISS > 10 days = Any incident resulting in an in-school suspension for more than 10 consecutive or cumulative days

OSS All = Any incident resulting in an out of school suspension

OSS >10 days = Any incident resulting in an out of school suspension for more than 10 consecutive or cumulative days

OSS includes out of school suspensions, expulsions or unilateral removals

Version: November 2011 Page 8 Printed: 11/8/2011

Secondary Transition Data - (Table G)

Graduation / Dropout Data for Students with Disabilities (SPP 1, 2) (G1)

The following table indicates the numbers and percents of students with disabilities who graduate or drop out from school

	2008-00	2009-10	2010-11	*State
	2000-03	2003-10	2010-11	2010-11
Graduation data (SPP 1)				
Number of students with disabilities who graduated	0	0	0	7,027
Graduation rate for students with disabilities	NA	NA	NA	81.50%
Dropout data (SPP 2)				
Number of students with disabilities ages 14 - 21	1	1	0	40,094
Number of students with disabilities who dropped out	0	0	0	1,595
Dropout rate for students with disabilities	0.00%	0.00%	NA	3.98%

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core and MOSIS Enrollment and Attendance


Graduation rate = Graduates / (Graduates + Dropouts) x 100


Dropout rate = Dropouts / 14-21 Child Count x 100

For students ages 14-21 the following exit categories are combined for the number of dropouts: 03-Received a Certificate, 04-Reached Max Age, 07-Moved, Not known to continue and 08-Dropped out

NA - Elementary districts do not report their high school students, therefore will not have a graduation or dropout rate.

^{*}Totals exclude data reported by Dept of Corrections





Secondary Transition Plans (SPP 13) (G2)

IEPs must include coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet their post-secondary goals. The following data show the percent of youth age 16 and above with a transition plan that meets these requirements as determined by file review of a sample of IEPs

Reporting Year	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Reviewed	NA	NA	0	NA	NA
Number Met	NA	NA	0	NA	NA
Percent Met*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
State	73.65%	82.50%	88.38%	91.31%	79.61%

Note: Data collected from districts in year prior to MSIP review; therefore not available for all districts every year Statewide reporting includes approximately 1/5 of all school districts in the state

Secondary Transition Data - (Table G)

Follow-up on Previous Year's Graduates and Dropouts (IEP) (SPP 14) (G3)

Districts are required to follow-up for special education graduates and dropouts from the previous year. The following table indicates the district-reported data.

Follow-up reported 2009-2010		09-10 Graduates		09-10 Dropouts		Total		State
		#	%	#	%	#	%	%
(1) 2 YR College	completed at least one term	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	22.5%
(2) 4 YR College		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	7.9%
(3) Non College		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	3.1%
(4) Employed (Competitively)	at least 20 hrs per week for 90 days	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	21.3%
(5) Employed (Not Competitively)		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	2.4%
(6) Military		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	1.7%
(7) Other		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	14.0%
(8) Continuing Education - did not complete one term		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	3.7%
(9) Employed - less 20 hrs per week or 90 days		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	3.6%
(10) Unknown		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	19.8%
(11) Not Available		0		0		0		
Total (excludes Not Available)		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	100.0%
		1						
A. Enrolled in higher education*		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	30.4%
B. Enrolled in higher education or com	npetitively							
employed*		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	53.4%
C. Total Employed / continuing Education*		0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	58.9%

Source: District reported data via MOSIS February Follow-up

*Summary Calculations

- A. Enrolled in higher education for at least one complete term [(1) + (2)]
- B. Enrolled in higher education for at least one complete term or competitively employed for 20 hours a week for at least 90 days [(1) + (2) + (4) + (6)]
- C. Enrolled in higher education or other postsecondary education or training program for at least one complete term or competitively employed or in some other employment for 20 hours a week for at least 90 days [(1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5) + (6)]

